Hyper-shadows:

Imaging 5 dimensional black holes

ArXiv: 2410.05390, João P.A. Novo, Pedro V.P. Cunha, Carlos A.R. Herdeiro



Pedro Cunha

Universidade de Aveiro





CENTRO DE 16D EM MÁTEMÁTICA E APLICAÇÕES CENTER FOR R&D IN MATHEMATICS AND APPLICATIONS





Disclaimer:

This talk has no connection whatsoever to the 'Hypershadow' character from the Sonic the Hedgehog franchise. Any resemblance is purely coincidental.

FLATLAND: A ROMANCE OF MANY DIMENSIONS



FLATLAND A ROMANCE OF MANY DIMENSIONS EDWIN A. ABBOTT





Copyrighted Material

How do we "see" things in our 3D world?



Could we "see" a 4D object by defining a <u>3D retina</u>?

Retina is a 2D local patch, parameterized by the coordinates (θ, ϕ)

 S^2 sphere in spherical coordinates: $z = r \cos \theta$ $x = r \sin \theta \cos \phi$ $y = r \sin \theta \sin \phi$ $r \in [0, \infty[, \ \theta \in [0, \pi], \ \phi \in [0, 2\pi],$

Retina is a 2D local patch, parameterized by the coordinates (θ, ϕ)

 S^2 sphere in spherical coordinates: $z = r \cos \theta$ $x = r \sin \theta \cos \phi$ $y = r \sin \theta \sin \phi$ $r \in [0, \infty[, \ \theta \in [0, \pi], \ \phi \in [0, 2\pi],$

 S^3 sphere in spherical coordinates:

Retina is a 3D local patch, parameterized by the coordinates (ψ, θ, ϕ) $w = r \cos \psi$ $z = r \sin \psi \cos \theta$ $x = r \sin \psi \sin \theta \cos \phi$ $y = r \sin \psi \sin \theta \sin \phi$ $r \in [0, \infty[, \ \psi \in [0, \pi], \ \theta \in [0, \pi], \ \phi \in [0, 2\pi],$





Hyper-image \rightarrow 3D image of a 4D object



In our 3D world, the observed <u>angular size</u> of an object decreases as $\sim \frac{1}{r}$ with the <u>distance to the observer</u> r.



In a 4D world, the observed <u>angular size</u> of an object also decreases with the <u>distance to the observer</u> r.

Example in **flat space** of 3D hyper-images of an S^3 -sphere with unit radius, observed at different distances *r*:



Could we then "see" the image of higher dimensional black holes?

- Impact of Higher Dimensions: Adding extra dimensions alters the expectations from 4D gravity, revealing a richer landscape of solutions.

- Impact of Higher Dimensions:

Adding extra dimensions alters the expectations from 4D gravity, revealing a richer landscape of solutions.

- Violation of Cosmic Censorship:

Some higher-dimensional Black hole horizons can develop instabilities that lead to naked singularities. If the Weak Cosmic Censorship holds in 4D gravity migh be very specific to d=4. L. Lehner and F. Pretorius, *Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 (2010) 101102*

- Impact of Higher Dimensions:

Adding extra dimensions alters the expectations from 4D gravity, revealing a richer landscape of solutions.

- Violation of Cosmic Censorship:

Some higher-dimensional Black hole horizons can develop instabilities that lead to naked singularities. If the Weak Cosmic Censorship holds in 4D gravity migh be very specific to d=4. L. Lehner and F. Pretorius, *Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 (2010) 101102*

- Topological Diversity:

In d > 4, event horizons can exhibit different topologies, such as spherical and toroidal. R. Emparan and H.S. Reall, *Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002) 101101*

- Impact of Higher Dimensions:

Adding extra dimensions alters the expectations from 4D gravity, revealing a richer landscape of solutions.

- Violation of Cosmic Censorship:

Some higher-dimensional Black hole horizons can develop instabilities that lead to naked singularities. If the Weak Cosmic Censorship holds in 4D gravity migh be very specific to d=4. L. Lehner and F. Pretorius, *Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 (2010) 101102*

- Topological Diversity:

In d > 4, event horizons can exhibit different topologies, such as spherical and toroidal. R. Emparan and H.S. Reall, *Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002) 101101*

- Uniqueness Breakdown:

Black rings (toroidal horizons) in vacuum coexist with spherical-horizon solutions for the same mass and spin. R. Emparan and H.S. Reall, *Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002) 101101*

- Impact of Higher Dimensions:

Adding extra dimensions alters the expectations from 4D gravity, revealing a richer landscape of solutions.

- Violation of Cosmic Censorship:

Some higher-dimensional Black hole horizons can develop instabilities that lead to naked singularities. If the Weak Cosmic Censorship holds in 4D gravity migh be very specific to d=4. L. Lehner and F. Pretorius, *Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 (2010) 101102*

- Topological Diversity:

In d > 4, event horizons can exhibit different topologies, such as spherical and toroidal. R. Emparan and H.S. Reall, *Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002) 101101*

- Uniqueness Breakdown:

Black rings (toroidal horizons) in vacuum coexist with spherical-horizon solutions for the same mass and spin. R. Emparan and H.S. Reall, *Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002) 101101*

- Examples 5D Solutions:

Examples include Tangherlini and Myers-Perry black holes, both with spherical horizons. F.R. Tangherlini, *Nuovo Cim. 27 (1963) 636.* R.C. Myers and M.J. Perry, *Annals Phys. 172 (1986) 304.* The 5D Myers-Perry solution (equal spins)

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + (x + a^{2}) \left(\frac{dx^{2}}{4\Delta} + d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2} + \cos^{2}\theta d\psi^{2} \right)$$
$$+ \frac{\mu^{2}}{\rho^{2}} \left[dt + a \left(\sin^{2}\theta d\phi + \cos^{2}\theta d\psi \right) \right]^{2}.$$

with $\rho^2 = x + a^2$, $\Delta = (x + a^2)^2 - \mu^2 x$. The coordinate range is $r \in [0, \infty[, \theta \in [0, \pi/2]], \phi \in [0, 2\pi[, \psi \in [0, 2\pi[.$

Describes a topologically spherical Black Hole, of mass $M = 3\pi \mu^2/(8G)$. It simultaneously rotates in two orthogonal planes with equal angular momenta $J = \frac{2}{3}Ma$.

 $5D \rightarrow 4$ spatial dimensions + 1 time dimension

Far field $x \gg \mu$

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + dr^{2} + r^{2} \left(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta \, d\phi^{2} + \cos^{2}\theta \, d\psi^{2} \right)$$

Hypersurfaces with t = const. and r = const. are S³-spheres in Hopf coordinates. These are **not** spherical coordinates.

If also $\theta = const.$, the surface described by (ϕ, ψ) covers a 2D-torus.

The 5D Myers-Perry solution has three Killing vector fields, ∂_t , ∂_{ϕ} and ∂_{ψ} . This leads to Killing constants along geodesic motion, $p_t = -E$, $p_{\phi} = \Phi$ and $p_{\psi} = \Psi$. There exists a non-trivial constant of motion, \mathcal{K} , which makes the geodesic motion fully integrable.

The null geodesic equations with equal spins are:

$$\begin{split} \rho^2 \dot{t} &= E\left(x+a^2\right) + \mu^2 \frac{\left(x+a^2\right)^2}{\Delta} \left(E + \frac{a}{x+a^2}\left(\Phi + \Psi\right)\right),\\ \rho^2 \dot{\phi} &= \frac{\Phi}{\sin^2 \theta} - \mu^2 a \frac{x+a^2}{\Delta} \left(E + \frac{a}{x+a^2}\left(\Phi + \Psi\right)\right),\\ \rho^2 \dot{\psi} &= \frac{\Psi}{\cos^2 \theta} - \mu^2 a \frac{x+a^2}{\Delta} \left(E + \frac{a}{x+a^2}\left(\Phi + \Psi\right)\right),\\ \rho^4 \dot{x}^2 &= 4\Delta \left(xE^2 - \mathcal{K}\right) + 4\mu^2 \left(x+a^2\right)^2 \left(E + \frac{a}{x+a^2}\left(\Phi + \Psi\right)\right)^2\\ \rho^4 \dot{\theta}^2 &= \mathcal{K} + E^2 a^2 - \frac{\Phi^2}{\sin^2 \theta} - \frac{\Psi^2}{\cos^2 \theta}. \end{split}$$

Spherical Photon Orbits

The Kerr shadow is determined by a set of photon orbits with constant radial coordinate. The same happens with the Myers-Perry *hyper-shadow*. The Myers-Perry spherical photon orbits are obtained by the conditions:

$$\dot{\theta}^2 \ge 0$$

$$\chi = 0 \qquad \frac{d\chi}{dx} = 0$$

where $4\chi = \rho^4 \dot{x}^2$. It is possible to solve these equations analytically.

The physical domain of the Spherical Photon Orbits in the Myers-Perry spacetime:



The physical domain of the Spherical Photon Orbits in the Myers-Perry spacetime:



ZAMO observation frame

We consider a 5-dimensional generalization of a zero angular momentum observer (ZAMO): The locally measured momenta of the light ray in the ZAMO frame are:

$$p^{(t)} = -\hat{e}^{\mu}_{(t)}p_{\mu}, \qquad p^{(\phi)} = \hat{e}^{\mu}_{(\phi)}p_{\mu},$$
$$p^{(\psi)} = \hat{e}^{\mu}_{(\psi)}p_{\mu} \qquad p^{(x)} = \hat{e}^{\mu}_{(x)}p_{\mu}$$
$$p^{(\theta)} = \hat{e}^{\mu}_{(\theta)}p_{\mu}.$$

In this frame, the hyperimage local coordinates (X, Y, Z) can be expressed as:

$$X = -\sqrt{x_o} \frac{p^{(\phi)}}{p^{(t)}}, \quad Y = -\sqrt{x_o} \frac{p^{(\psi)}}{p^{(t)}}, \quad Z = \sqrt{x_o} \frac{p^{(\theta)}}{p^{(t)}}$$

Hypershadow parametrization

By considering an observer in the far-away limit $(x_o \to \infty)$ one obtains:

$$\begin{split} X &= -\frac{\Phi}{\sin \theta_o} ,\\ Y &= -\frac{\Psi}{\cos \theta_o} ,\\ Z &= \pm \frac{1}{\sin(2\theta_o)} \sqrt{-2(\Phi^2 + \Psi^2) + 2(\Psi^2 - \Phi^2)\cos(2\theta) + (a^2 + \mathcal{K})\sin^2(2\theta)} . \end{split}$$

The constants of geodesic motion $\{\mathcal{K}, \Psi, \Phi\}$ are determined by the spherical photon orbits.

Introducing the 5D Myers-Perry Hypershadow (with maximum spin)



Plots of the 2D surface boundary of the Myers-Perry hypershadow



Hypershadow with spin a=0.49. <u>Reference sphere</u> inside to highlight deviations from a sphere.

Plots of the 2D surface boundary of the Myers-Perry hypershadow



Two superimposed hypershadows with spins a=0.1 (blue), and a=0.49 (red).

Hypershadow with spin a=0.49. <u>Reference sphere</u> inside to highlight deviations from a sphere.











Simple Hypershadow parametrization

These symmetries can be explored to convey a much simpler parameterization of the hypershadow:

Z

X

$$X = -\alpha \sin \theta_o - \cos v \cos \theta_o \sqrt{Q - \alpha^2} ,$$

$$Y = -\alpha \cos \theta_o + \cos v \sin \theta_o \sqrt{Q - \alpha^2} ,$$

$$Z = \sin v \sqrt{Q - \alpha^2} ,$$

where θ_o is the observation angle, and the parametrization coordinates have the range $x \in [x_1, x_2]$ and $v \in [0, 2\pi]$. In addition:

$$Q(x) = x + a^{2} + \frac{\left(x + a^{2}\right)^{2} - x}{\left(1 - \sqrt{2}\sqrt{x + a^{2}}\right)^{2}},$$
$$\alpha(x) = -a - \frac{1}{a} \left[x + \frac{\left(a^{2} + x\right)^{2} - x}{1 - \sqrt{2}\sqrt{a^{2} + x}}\right]$$

The Road ahead

- Hypershadows of black rings:

What is the hyperimage of other black hole solutions with toroidal topology? Is it a 3D torus?

- Continuous Symmetry:

It is not clear if the existence of a symmetry axis for the hypershadow is a consequence of equal spins or connected with deeper symmetry properties of the Myers-Perry spacetime.

Bonus topic: Imaging the gravitational collapse of a pressureless star into a Black Hole



$$ds^2 = -d\tau^2 + e^{\lambda}dR^2 + r^2d\Omega^2$$

$$ds^2 = -d\tau^2 + e^{\lambda}dR^2 + r^2d\Omega^2$$

proper time (dust frame)

 $ds^2 = -d\tau^2 + e^{\lambda}dR^2 + r^2d\Omega^2$

proper time (dust frame)

Comoving dust radius (dust frame)

 $ds^2 = -d\tau^2 + e^{\lambda}dR^2 + r^2d\Omega^2$ Areal radius proper time (dust frame) Comoving dust radius (dust frame)



 $r := r(R, \tau)$ $\lambda := \lambda(R, \tau)$

Every dust particle worldline has $\{R, \theta, \varphi\} = \mathrm{const.}$

Possible to find analytical solution with the dust starting from rest at $\tau=0$.

 $R_o \longrightarrow$ co-moving radius of the star $M \longrightarrow$ mass of the star

Black body radiation

The star is assumed to radiate Black body radiation at constant temperature T. The spectral radiance for the wavelength λ being:

$$B_{\lambda} = \frac{2hc^2}{\lambda^5} \frac{1}{\exp\left(hc/(\lambda k_B T)\right) - 1}$$



Black body radiation

The star is assumed to radiate Black body radiation at constant temperature T. The spectral radiance for the wavelength λ being:

$$B_{\lambda} = \frac{2hc^2}{\lambda^5} \frac{1}{\exp\left(hc/(\lambda k_B T)\right) - 1}$$



How to we obtain the perceived color of the star given some spectra?

Brief introduction to **Colorimetry**



The human eye has three types of color sensors that respond to different ranges of wavelengths.

In 1931 the *International Commission on Illumination* (CIE) published the CIE 1931 color spaces which define the relationship between the **visible spectrum** and the visual sensation of specific colors by human color vision.



Tristimulus values XYZ

The stimulus of the light cones by standard observer is given by:

$$X = \int_{\lambda} B_{\lambda}(\lambda) \ \bar{x}(\lambda) \ d\lambda$$
$$Y = \int_{\lambda} B_{\lambda}(\lambda) \ \bar{y}(\lambda) \ d\lambda$$
$$Z = \int_{\lambda} B_{\lambda}(\lambda) \ \bar{z}(\lambda) \ d\lambda$$

These values (X, Y, Z) are approximately the Red-Green-Blue perceived colors, although there is a more complicated mapping between the two.



Tristimulus values XYZ

The stimulus of the light cones by standard observer is given by:

$$X = \int_{\lambda} B_{\lambda}(\lambda) \ \bar{x}(\lambda) \ d\lambda$$
$$Y = \int_{\lambda} B_{\lambda}(\lambda) \ \bar{y}(\lambda) \ d\lambda$$
$$Z = \int_{\lambda} B_{\lambda}(\lambda) \ \bar{z}(\lambda) \ d\lambda$$

These values (X, Y, Z) are approximately the Red-Green-Blue perceived colors, although there is a more complicated mapping between the two.

We can recover the perceived color of a black body at different temperatures



Expectation for collapse imaging?



Expectation for collapse imaging?



Light rays that are emitted at the same proper time at the star surface arrive at the observer at different times.

F

 L_2

 $\mathbf{\Gamma}$

 $T_1 < T_2$

Expectation for collapse imaging



Video with the collapse of a dust star, starting from rest, with an initial radius of 8M, and surface temperature of 5000 °C:



